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Investment directions
Summertime and the living is easy?

Shifting tides
In the United States, the economic backdrop continues to shine, and earnings remain strong. But uncertainty surrounding trade tensions has sparked investor interest in more defensive factor exposures, like quality, while fervor has cooled for more cyclical factors such as value. At the same time, growth-oriented stocks have continued their momentum—although exhibiting bouts of volatility of late. Earnings have been the drivers of both performance and pullbacks.

Feeling the heat
Meanwhile, developed countries and regions outside the United States have not just suffered from historic heatwaves, but continue to face political and economic headwinds. As such, we have downgraded Europe to underweight and Japan to neutral. Political and economic uncertainty is casting a shadow over the former and lack of a catalyst in sight affects the latter.

Why we’re sticking with emerging markets
Emerging market equities have performed miserably this year, a victim of tighter financial conditions (namely, the strong dollar) and trade tensions. However, we continue to favor the asset class and believe this year’s poor performance has created an attractive entry point. Yet rising dispersion among emerging markets (EM) assets underscores the need for selectivity at the country level.

Focus on mortgage-backed securities
The fixed income market remains challenging, but market technicals have improved as demand from banks and others has absorbed the increased supply as the Federal Reserve (Fed) normalizes its balance sheet. The key is being selective: We think mortgage-backed security valuations remain attractive and prefer agency MBS over credit, TIPS over Treasuries and investment grade over high yield within credit.

The new yield environment
This year marks the most meaningful change in interest rates since the financial crisis. As the Federal Reserve increases interest rates and ends large-scale asset purchases in the United States, risk-free yields have increased. The current U.S. 2-year Treasury yield is greater than 95% of the curve just two years ago. The ability to earn attractive risk-free returns within short-maturity assets means that investors have a real alternative to earn yield without taking duration or equity risk. While long-duration bonds can offer diversification benefits, we continue to favor short-duration bonds.
Overview
Against a backdrop of strong earnings, along with concerns over trade tensions, investor interest has grown in defensive factor exposures, like quality, while growth-oriented stocks have exhibited continued momentum. We continue to prefer growth-geared sectors, namely technology and financial companies, as well as the momentum and quality factors.

Consider
- iShares U.S. Financial Services ETF (IYG)
- iShares North American Tech ETF (IGM)
- iShares Edge MSCI USA Momentum Factor ETF (MTUM)
- iShares Edge MSCI USA Quality Factor ETF (QUAL)

U.S. equities
Shifting tides

Key points
- **Moving from value to quality.** Uncertainty surrounding trade tensions has sparked investor interest in more defensive factor exposures, like quality, while cooling it in more cyclical factors such as value.
- **Growth-oriented stocks continue to perform despite exhibiting bouts of volatility of late, outperforming the market in spite of being prone to sell-offs.** High-profile earnings releases have been the drivers of pullbacks but have also led year-to-date performance.
- **Sector trends.** Upward revisions in sectors that have underperformed, like energy, seem to be priced in by markets. Meanwhile, sectors such as technology have continued to deliver strong top line earnings, despite some wobbles in guidance and revenues.

Market pulse
The second-quarter earnings season has been largely positive for U.S. stocks, particularly those in the S&P 500. With some companies still left to report at time of writing, the year-over-year rate of earnings growth looks to be healthy at more than 20%. This marks the second consecutive quarter of earnings growth above 20% and the third consecutive quarter of double-digit growth. Many companies may have reported concerns around trade uncertainties and an appreciating dollar, but sales growth is also humming along at a healthy 8%+, one of the highest levels this decade, with all 11 GICS sectors growing.

Figure 1: Trade concerns trending

![Figure 1: Trade concerns trending](source: https://www.bespokepremium.com/think-big-blog/tariffs-tariffs-tariffs, accessed on July 31, 2018.)

Much of the earnings growth can be attributed to the energy sector, which returned 13.5% in the second quarter, more than any other sector in the S&P 500. Of course, this suggests a lot of the good news is already in the price.
This far into an economic cycle, results this strong are impressive and, based on guidance, seem sustainable through 2018. Full-year earnings estimates remaining intact this late in the year are also a uniquely bullish phenomenon and the number of companies guiding lower is well below historical averages. As a result, the 2018 view from both strategists and analysts across Wall Street is summarily bullish.

Can growth stocks continue to shine? Based on the incoming earnings data, they seem unlikely to dim anytime soon. However, going forward, we will also be watching the performance of value, which may begin to catch up to other factors or styles, should the economic momentum continue.

**A tale of flows**

Flows often follow performance, especially in the U.S. equity ETF space. As such, recent flows have largely reflected the underperformance of value as both a style and a factor. On the other hand, the quality factor category has seen continued inflows, as has the much larger and more recognized growth segment, which has a high degree of overlap to quality. Outflows have been most pronounced in large-cap value, where net outflows belie a shift of $4 billion between the two largest funds in the space.

As small-cap stocks have outperformed their large-cap peers this year, demand remains strong for both small- and mid-cap value ETFs, with $2 billion of inflows over the last two months. Growth funds have seen inflows across all cap ranges, to the tune of $6 billion of inflows.

**Figure 2: Slow mo: 2018 ETP flows into factor exposures**

Sources: Thomson Reuters, BlackRock, as of July 30, 2018.
Overview
Given rising political risks and sluggish economic performance, we are now underweight European equities. We have a more favorable view on Japan but are neutral with no catalyst for growth in sight.

Consider
• iShares MSCI Eurozone ETF (EZU)
• iShares Core MSCI Europe ETF (IEUR)
• iShares Currency Hedged MSCI Eurozone ETF (HEZU)
• iShares MSCI Japan ETF (EWJ)
• iShares Currency Hedged MSCI Japan ETF (HEWJ)

Developed market equities
Feeling the heat

Key points
• Developed market downgrades. We have downgraded our views on Europe and Japan: We favor an underweight position on Europe and are neutral on Japan.
• Political uncertainty. An anti-establishment Italian government, Brexit uncertainty and renewed immigration tensions create new challenges for Europe.
• ECB challenges. Lingering risks and sluggish core inflation are likely to keep the European Central Bank (ECB) on its slow path to normalization.
• Neutral on Japan. Improving governance and profitability are encouraging in Japan, but there is a lack of near-term catalysts to propel relative equity outperformance.

Market pulse
Italy’s March 2018 election of an anti-establishment, euro-skeptic government and renewed tensions over immigration have raised long-term risks for the European Union. The resurfacing of political risk in the eurozone’s third largest economy sent shockwaves as fears of a euro exit by Italy shook regional bond markets, widening the spreads between Italian and German government bonds. Although it is too early to assess the impact of the new Italian government’s policy agenda, investors were provided a taste of how contagion could quickly spread throughout the euro area in the event of a crisis.

Meanwhile, the United Kingdom has been struggling with the conditions for its departure from the European Union. The debate between a soft Brexit and a hard one has upped political uncertainty without much progress made. While the falling U.K. currency has helped aid a short-term boost to U.K. equity prices, the ongoing Brexit-related risks could threaten the economy in the long run as the March 2019 deadline approaches.

The eurozone and Japanese inflation outlooks remain subdued (Figure 3). Weakness in core inflation has reduced speculation that the Bank of Japan or the European Central Bank may tighten their monetary policies soon. Earnings revisions ratios across different developed markets (Figure 4) also point to weaker earnings momentum in Europe and Japan compared to that in the United States. Although friendly corporate behavior, solid company earnings and supportive monetary policy remain encouraging for Japanese equities, a clear growth catalyst is missing in the near term, while yen appreciation could become a potential risk to the market.
A tale of flows

The change in views for Europe and Japan reflects ongoing preference across the ETF landscape. U.S.-listed ETFs with broad exposure to Europe have seen $5 billion exit over the last two months, across funds that hedged currencies as well as those that offered unhedged exposures. Adding in EMU single-country ETFs and one finds another $500 million has flowed out of European funds.

Another shift in preference has been seen in Japan, where ETFs offering lower cost exposure and small caps have seen some inflows to offset the outflows occurring in the larger funds focused on the broader market. So far in 2018, this has amounted to just under $2.5 billion of outflows. However, an acceleration in outflows is a more recent phenomenon. The year began with slow inflows into unhedged currency Japan ETFs, only for $3 billion to flow out overall over the last two months, across both hedged and unhedged currency exposures.

Figure 5: 2018 developed market ETF flows

Source: BlackRock, as of July 30, 2018.
Overview
The sell-off in emerging markets this year has created attractive valuations. We remain overweight EM equities, with a preference for EM Asia.

Consider
• iShares MSCI China ETF (MCHI)
• iShares MSCI Emerging Markets Asia ETF (EEMA)
• iShares Core MSCI Emerging Markets ETF (IEMG)

Emerging markets
Why we’re sticking with emerging markets

Key points
• We continue to favor emerging markets. However, macro uncertainty has tightened financial conditions. Rising dispersion underscores the need for selectivity.
• Escalating trade tensions remain top of mind, but we continue to favor EM Asia on the back of strong growth, supportive fundamentals and cheap valuations.
• A potential bargain? Cheaper valuations combined with investor underpositioning suggest an attractive entry point.

Market pulse
Emerging markets have stumbled this year, buffeted by growing macro uncertainty and escalating trade frictions that have tightened global financial conditions. However, we continue to favor the asset class and believe this year’s poor performance has created an attractive entry point. Yet rising dispersion among EM assets suggests the pain is not being evenly felt and underscores the need for selectivity.

The U.S. dollar’s rally, underpinned by widening U.S. growth and rate differentials versus other economies, has tightened global financial conditions. EM assets with external financing needs, such as Argentina, Brazil and Turkey, have come under pressure. Higher oil prices have amplified the inflationary effects of a weaker exchange rate; however, oil exporters with stable currencies have reaped the benefits. Saudi Arabian equities, for example, are up more than 19% year-to-date.

Still, equity valuations have cheapened in every country in the MSCI Emerging Markets Index. EM rates markets have turned hawkish, pricing in rate hiking cycles to support their currencies and limit further U.S. rate differential widening. Brazil, in particular, is currently priced to hike policy rates by more than 260 basis points (bps), or 2.6%, over the next year.

Within EM, our preference is for EM Asia. Trade tensions have ratcheted higher, yet fundamentals, earnings outlooks and valuations remain compelling. EM Asia has the strongest balance of payments, earnings growth remains strong and the MSCI EM Asia Index trades at just 11.5 times next twelve months earnings. Despite trade risks, we see cheap valuations and the ongoing tech-led U.S. capex cycle supporting EM Asia growth.
A tale of flows

In contrast to last year, EMs have fallen sharply in 2018 and investor positioning has followed suit. U.S.-listed EM equity ETP flows experienced their worst quarter in the second quarter since the 2013 taper tantrum. EM equity flows exhibit a tight relationship with the U.S. dollar and suggest investors are currently more concerned with a stronger U.S. dollar tightening financial conditions than escalating trade frictions.

EM equity outflows accelerated in June but have since slowed. In fact, EM equity flows have since stabilized alongside EM exchange rates and higher equity prices. By mid-July, the 11 week stretch of outflows was broken. EM equity positioning is now lighter; however, with valuations even cheaper, fundamentals still intact and limited scope for future U.S. dollar appreciation, we’re overweight EM equities with a preference for EM Asia.

Figure 7: EM equity flows are tightly linked to the U.S. dollar

Sources: BlackRock, Bloomberg. Flows measure U.S.-listed EM equity ETP flows. Flows are shown on a four-week moving average. U.S. dollar is measured with the DXY index.
Overview
It's a difficult environment for bonds, but technicals have improved. We think mortgage valuations remain attractive and prefer agency MBS over credit, TIPS over Treasuries and investment grade over high yield within credit.

Consider
• iShares MBS ETF (MBB)
• iShares TIPS Bond ETF (TIP)
• iShares Floating Rate Bond ETF (FLOT)
• iShares iBoxx $ Investment Grade Corporate Bond ETF (LQD)

Fixed income
Focus on mortgage-backed securities

Key points
• While fixed income markets overall remain challenging given the rising rate environment, market dynamics for agency mortgage-backed securities (MBS) have improved. Higher demand from banks, REITs and overseas purchasers has absorbed increased supply as the Federal Reserve normalizes its balance sheet.
• Relative valuations continue to support agency MBS over investment grade (IG) credit. Although heavy new issuance this year has pushed IG credit spreads off of their historical tights, the asset class remains fairly rich from a valuation standpoint. In contrast, MBS spreads remain near their historical midpoint.
• Overall, we continue to favor a shorter-duration and an up-quality bias in fixed income allocations. We prefer agency MBS over credit, TIPS over Treasuries and investment grade over high yield within credit.

Market pulse
The yield on the 10-year U.S. Treasury remains range bound between 2.8% and 3.0% while steadily rising short-term rates continue to flatten the 2s/10s Treasury curve. Markets have fully priced in a third rate hike in 2018 and currently put the odds of a fourth rate hike in 2018 at roughly 75%. We expect the Fed to continue to normalize rates.

Elsewhere, market supply/demand dynamics are playing an important role in credit and securitized markets. While IG credit spreads have widened under heavy new issuance this year, they have begun to retrace to tighter levels. In contrast, agency MBS supply/demand dynamics continue to improve. The Fed’s well-telegraphed balance sheet runoff has been offset by stronger demand from banks, REITs and foreign buyers. Banks, in particular, have purchased 85% of the $20 billion in net purchases in the first half of 2018, totaling $17 billion. General market expectations are for this favorable demand dynamic to continue, in line with 2014-2017 averages, as shown below.

Figure 8: Bank MBS purchases vs. loan growth, 2014-2018 YTD

Source: Federal Reserve H8 data, as of March 28, 2018.
MBS valuations remain attractive. While MBS spreads have been tightening against a backdrop of declining rate volatility in recent years, they continue to look quite favorable versus comparable high-quality corporate credit. Agency MBS spreads are currently trading near the midpoint of their historical range versus investment grade credit, which remains closer to historical tights.

**A tale of flows**

Fixed income ETP flows remain strong for shorter-duration products. Treasury ETPs have gathered $21 billion in inflows year-to-date, led by more than $13 billion into short-term Treasury ETPs. However, high yield and emerging market outflows have continued amid the risk-off sentiment in the second quarter of 2018. Higher-quality credit and securitized markets continue to attract inflows. In addition to strong demand from real money investors, MBS-focused ETPs continue to attract steady inflows. Year-to-date, MBS-focused ETPs have gathered just over $1 billion.

**Figure 9: Flows into bond ETFs**

Source: BlackRock, as of July 30, 2018.
Overview
Amid a changing yield environment, we favor short-duration bond exposure, which we believe will continue to offer investors favorable risk-adjusted carry versus longer-duration instruments.

Consider
- iShares Treasury Floating Rate Bond ETF (TFLO)
- iShares Short Maturity Bond ETF (NEAR)
- iShares Short Treasury Bond ETF (SHV)
- iShares Floating Rate Bond ETF (FLOT)
- iShares 1-3 Year Treasury Bond ETF (SHY)

Multi-asset insights
The new yield environment

Key points
- **The cross-asset yield environment is shifting.** Short-term U.S. debt instruments currently offer investors the highest yields of the post-crisis period.
- **We prefer short-duration bonds versus longer duration.** However, we also find that there are some multi-asset exposures that still offer attractive risk-adjusted yields.
- **ETP flows indicate a departure from the broader post-crisis period.** Investor flows have followed suit, shifting to the short end of the curve. Flows into higher yield equity ETPs have also decelerated.

Market pulse
This year, we have seen the most meaningful change in interest rates since the financial crisis, a move that has changed the yield picture across asset classes. As the Federal Reserve increases interest rates and ends large-scale asset purchases in the United States, risk-free yields have increased, especially at the front end. Today, investors can capture 80% of the current 30-year yield in the 2-year while taking just one-tenth of the duration risk—meaning it is no longer necessary to reach for yield by extending duration.

Figure 10: Cross-asset yields across fixed income exposures

![Figure 10: Cross-asset yields across fixed income exposures](image)

Source: Bloomberg, as of 7/10/2018. Notes: Indexes listed are Bloomberg Barclays. For the precise indexes used, please see footnote 1 on page 12. Index performance is for illustrative purposes only. Index performance does not reflect any management fees, transaction costs or expenses. Indexes are unmanaged and one cannot invest directly in an index. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

This environment has sparked investor demand in shorter-duration ETPs. Flows have shifted from the clear preference for yield, regardless of the risk, toward a more discerning environment of quality assets and shorter duration at that. There has also been a reduced pace of inflows into yield proxies.

We think that the balance of risks is near fully priced in and that short-duration products will continue to offer investors favorable risk-adjusted carry versus other longer-duration instruments.
Portfolio trends: Notes from the field

The iShares Portfolio Solutions team recently analyzed more than 6,710 advisor models. Three main takeaways emerged:

- As the financial markets exhibit lower returns and higher volatility, multi-asset funds continue to offer attractive opportunities; however, advisors remain largely underweight to this area.
- The terrific returns of the S&P 500 have buoyed clients’ investment portfolios over the past nine years. Achieving return goals from here may involve overcoming discomfort with non-U.S. investments.
- Advisor models appear well positioned for further economic growth and not as well prepared for a recession. Advisors may want to consider a tilt toward quality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Region</th>
<th>underweight</th>
<th>neutral</th>
<th>overweight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developed markets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurozone</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia Pacific</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Markets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia Pacific</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Income Sector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Treasuries</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. TIPS</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Investment Grade Credit</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. High Yield Credit</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Municipals</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Mortgage-Backed Securities</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-U.S. Developed Markets</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Markets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Underweight: Potentially decrease allocation
Neutral: Consider benchmark allocation
Overweight: Potentially increase allocation
This material is solely for educational purposes and does not constitute an offer or solicitation to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any shares of any fund (nor shall any such shares thereupon become available to any person) in any jurisdiction in which an offer, solicitation, purchase or sale would be unlawful under the securities law of that jurisdiction.

In Latin America, for Institutional and Professional Investors Only (Not for Public Distribution):

It is possible that some or all of the funds mentioned or referred to in this material have not been registered with the securities regulator of Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Peru, Uruguay or any other securities regulator in any Latin American country, and, thus, might not be publicly offered within any such country. The securities regulators of such countries have not confirmed the accuracy of any information contained herein. No information discussed herein can be provided to the general public in Latin America.

In Hong Kong, this material is issued by BlackRock Asset Management North Asia Limited. This material is for distribution to “Professional Investors” (as defined in the Securities and Futures Ordinances (Cap.5/1 of the laws of Hong Kong) and should not be relied upon by any other persons. In Singapore, this document is issued by BlackRock Singapore Limited (company registration number: 200909894V) for institutional and professional investors only. For distribution in Korea and Taiwan for Institutional Investors only (or “professional clients,” as such term may apply in local jurisdictions). This document is for distribution to professional and institutional investors only and should not be relied upon by any other persons. This document is provided for informational purposes only and does not constitute a solicitation of any securities or BlackRock funds in any jurisdiction in which such solicitation is unlawful or to any person to whom it is unlawful. Moreover, it neither constitutes an offer to enter into an investment agreement with the recipient of this document nor an invitation to respond to it by making an offer to enter into an investment agreement. Past performance is not a guide to future performance. There are risks associated with investing, including loss of principal. Changes in the rates of exchange between currencies may cause the value of investments to fluctuate. This document is for informational purposes only and does not constitute an offer or invitation to anyone to invest in any BlackRock fund and has not been prepared in connection with any such offer. Any research in this document has been procured and may have been acted on by BlackRock for its own purpose. The results of such research are being made available only to “professional clients,” as such term may apply in local jurisdictions. This information contains general information only and does not take into account an individual’s circumstances and consideration should be given to talking to a financial adviser before making an investment decision.

Carefully consider the Funds’ investment objectives, risk factors, and charges and expenses before investing. This and other information can be found in the Funds’ prospectuses or, if available, the summary prospectuses which may be obtained by visiting iShares.com or BlackRock.com. Read the prospectus carefully before investing.

Investing involves risk, including possible loss of principal. International investing involves risks, including risks related to foreign currency, limited liquidity, possible illiquidity in securities that compose portfolios, and the possibility of substantial loss of principal due to adverse political, economic or other developments. These risks often are heightened for investments in emerging/developing markets, in concentrations of single countries or smaller capital markets. Frontier markets involve heightened risks related to the same factors and may be subject to a greater risk of loss than investments in more developed and emerging markets. There is no guarantee that any fund will pay dividends.

Fixed income risks include interest-rate and credit risk. Typically, when interest rates rise, there is a corresponding decline in bond values. Credit risk refers to the possibility that the bond issuer will not be able to make principal and interest payments. There may be less information on the financial condition of municipal issuers than for public corporations. The market for municipal bonds may be less liquid than for taxable bonds. Some investors may be subject to federal or state income taxes or the Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT). Capital gains distributions, if any, are taxable. Noninvestment-grade debt securities (high-yield/junk bonds) may be subject to greater market fluctuations, risk of default or loss of income and principal than higher-rated securities.

A fund’s use of derivatives may reduce a fund’s returns and/or increase volatility and subject the fund to counterparty risk, which is the risk that the other party in the transaction will not fulfill its contractual obligation. A fund could suffer losses related to its derivative positions because of a possible lack of liquidity in the secondary market and as a result of unanticipated market movements, which losses are potentially unlimited. There can be no assurance that any fund’s hedging transactions will be effective.

An investment in the Fund(s) is not insured or guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other government agency and its return and yield will fluctuate with market conditions. There can be no assurance that performance will be enhanced or risk reduced for funds that seek to provide exposure to certain quantitative investment characteristics (“factors”). Exposure to such investment factors may detract from performance in some market environments, perhaps for extended periods. In such circumstances, a fund may seek to maintain exposure to the targeted investment factors and not adjust to target different factors, which could result in performance more than minimum volatility as there is no guarantee that the underlying index’s strategy of seeking to lower volatility will be successful.

Funds that concentrate investments in specific industries, sectors, markets or asset classes may underperform or be more volatile than other industries, sectors, markets or asset classes and than the general securities market. Technology companies may be subject to severe competition and product obsolescence. Small-capitalization companies may be less stable and more susceptible to adverse developments, and their securities may be more volatile and less liquid than larger capitalization companies.

The iShares Funds are not sponsored, endorsed, issued, sold or promoted by Bloomberg Finance L.P., MSCI Inc. or Market Indices Limited. None of these companies make any representation regarding the advisability of investing in the Funds. BlackRock Investments, LLC is not affiliated with the companies listed above.

The iShares Funds that are registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (“Funds”) are distributed in the United States by BlackRock Investments, LLC (together with its affiliates, “BlackRock”).