BlackRock.

Blending returns updated

BlackRock **Investment** Institute

Key takeaways

The Covid-19 shock provided something of a natural experiment that enabled us to reassess our framework for blending returns and gauge the ability of alpha-seeking managers to deliver what we call pure alpha – the part of excess return relative to a benchmark not driven by a factor tilt – during a risk asset selloff and rebound of unprecedented speed and size.

Factor exposures – the part which can be captured via indexing – play a large role in manager returns and even more so following the Covid-19 shock.

Investors need to understand the drivers of returns and their costs when building portfolios, in our view. Picking and overseeing alpha-seeking managers involves governance costs that can be considerable – and the Covid-19 shock hasn't changed this.

Top-quartile alpha was higher during Covid-19 than before, yet we observed that not all managers had a steady ride. For example, high yield managers struggled before recovering due to dislocations specific to the asset class. Managers in top quartiles before Covid-19 also outperformed their peers during Covid-19, pointing to their ability to take advantage of increased volatility.

Bottom line: These results reinforce our view that alpha, factor and index products are key components of the strategic asset allocation (SAA) over the long run.

We also update the core of our July 2018 <u>Building better portfolios</u> publication, again finding higher alpha in equity but higher alpha information ratios – adjusting for volatility – in fixed income.

We double down on our original conclusions.

- The approach to blending returns is a whole-portfolio one.
- Allocating to alpha has high governance costs.
- It is important to know the returns you are buying.

Our framework's three main points

Know what you're buying

We believe investors need to distinguish between alpha, broad market and factor returns. This allows investors to allocate to genuine alpha opportunities within and across asset classes. And clarity on the sources of returns helps ensure investors stick to portfolio objectives by fully accounting for factor exposures. Looking at excess returns alone can be deceiving, so we see a need to uncover the factor exposures embedded in them.

See the full picture

A blend based on investor objectives and constraints is preferable - there is no one-size-fits-all answer. Blending alpha-seeking managers with indexing and factor strategies should occur at a whole-portfolio level rather than asset class by asset class. Alpha-seeking strategies with higher expected alpha, net of fees, may entail higher risk. But we believe they should not be ruled out even if contributing meaningful market and factor exposures provided those exposures are accounted for.

Time is money

What matters are returns net of costs. Yet product fees vary widely by client and over time. Governance costs to find and monitor alpha-seeking managers can also be considerable. Fees may lower returns – and alpha. Many investors have limited resources for these activities. Investors with a limited governance budget may opt to oversee just a few alpha-seeking managers – or even keep their entire portfolio in index products.

Key concepts

Factors

Macro and equity style factors are important drivers of returns, both through indexing products and alpha from timing factor returns. It is important to separate this source of return from any alpha-seeking manager's excess return relative to a benchmark to understand the return being paid for.

Returns and fees

What matters are returns net of costs. Product fees cut into returns and can reduce or, in some cases, eliminate the alpha an investor receives. Yet these fees vary widely and change over time. Some index products can also have large fees. Investors should fully account for fees in portfolio construction.

Common and pure alpha

Our work seeks to find not just factor-driven returns within excess returns, but also what we call common alpha – a return that appears factor-like but cannot be explained by current macro and style factors. We group this with pure alpha – alpha that can be explained by manager skill alone – for the purposes of attributing alpha.

Governance costs


Governance costs – those required to find and manage alpha-seeking managers – are a key consideration. Because costs are negotiable and client specific, our framework for blending alpha and index looks at returns and costs separately.

This is why we believe manager selection and oversight are vital to achieve alpha.

Factors are an important part of excess return variance

Our breakdown of return drivers still shows factors are key in understanding the fluctuation of excess returns. But pure alpha - including the factor-like common alpha - can be found across asset classes.

Annualized average excess return variance of all managers, 2016-2021




Past performance is not a reliable indicator of current or future results. This information is not intended as a recommendation to invest in any particular asset class or strategy or as a promise or even estimate – of future performance. Sources: BlackRock Investment Institute, with data from eVestment, December 2021. We separate excess returns – gross of fees – using the eVestment database of historic returns for 2898 managers across asset classes in public markets. We use MSCI indices for equity style factors and market benchmark indexes as a proxy for macro factors across asset classes – indexes are listed in the appendix.. We first use Least Angle regression to calculate how much of the excess return of an individual manager over a given five-year period can be explained by the exposures to macro and style factors. Least Angle regression helps identify the most important factors underlying excess returns – and the results are similar to our previous publication: https://www.blackrock.com/institutions/en-zz/literature/whitepaper/bii-portfolio-construction-blending-returns-july-2018.pdf. Any excess return that cannot be explained by these factors is then defined as alpha. We next perform principal component analysis on the alpha to define the part which is systematic – common alpha – for which we take the first three principal components. From these data we can calculate the variance of the separate parts as well as levels of alpha, alpha volatility and the information ratio (volatility-adjusted alpha). We chose a five-year snapshot of the average result across all managers in our sample to get a medium-term view of this breakdown, similar to the five-year windows we used in our previous analysis from 1997-2017.

Variance explained by factors rose in the Covid-19 period

We find the role of factors (red bars below) played an even larger role in the fluctuation of manager excess returns in the last five years, driven by increased factor volatility during Covid.

Change in annualized average excess return variance, 2016-2021 vs. 2011-2015




Past performance is not a reliable indicator of current or future results. This information is not intended as a recommendation to invest in any particular asset class or strategy or as a promise or even estimate - of future performance. Sources: BlackRock Investment Institute, December 2021. Note: the chart shows the difference in percentage points in our decomposition of excess returns - gross of fees - for the 2016-2021 period and the 2011-2015 period. Index proxies: Bloomberg U.S. Aggregate USD, JPM EMBI Global Core Index, ICE BofA Euro Corporate Index, IBOXX \$ Liquid Investment Grade Index, IBOXX \$ high yield, MSCI UK, MSCI Europe, MSCI EAFE US\$, MSCI USA, MSCI EM US\$, MSCI USA Small Cap. Indexes are unmanaged and do not account for fees. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

Alpha higher in equities, but fixed income alpha IR higher

Our work over the Covid-19 period finds similar levels compared with the longer previous period we assessed (1997-2017). We find more alpha opportunity in equity, but it is less efficient for risk taken.

Top-quartile alphas and alpha information ratio (IR) by asset class gross of fee, 2016-2021



Source: BlackRock Investment Institute and eVestment, December 2021. Notes: The alpha and alpha IR calculations are the same as we did on the previous slides. We use order statistics – a method for slicing the entire distribution of managers in the eVestment database into quartiles with an aim to focus on the alpha and alpha IRs of the top-performing managers. The orange dots show the cut-off level of top quartile annualized alpha and alpha IR within each asset class group and the bars show our confidence levels around these numbers. We obtain exact, finite sample confidence bounds by picking order statistics around the target quantile, as described in David and Nagaraja (2003). For instance, depending on the confidence level and the size of the dataset, an interval for the 75th percentile might be given by the 70th and 80th percentiles. Similar rules can be applied for percentile ranges as well. We choose the latter approach for simplicity, speed, robustness and broad applicability.

Gauging alpha over shorter time horizons

Problem?

Trying to gauge alpha performance over shorter periods of time using our previous method – time series regression – would lead to very noisy results.

Solution

We can see manager excess returns and our previous time series regression allows us to estimate factor tilts that managers held going into the Covid-19 shock. From these two sources, we can then estimate implied alpha.

What is Implied alpha?



We calculate a manager's factor exposures in the period just before the Covid-19 shock (to end December 2019) and calculate the return that would have come from these exposures through the Covid-19 shock



The difference between this return and the manager's observed excess return is the implied alpha



Implied alpha is therefore the additional return that managers generated over and above their starting exposures – by either changing their factor exposures through the covid shock (factor rotation), stock picking or other market timing




Implied alpha can help track manager alpha performance over time relative to a defined starting point

Implied alpha through Covid shock

The distribution of alpha was higher and wider through Covid - the full range among alpha-seeking managers underscores the importance of picking top-quartile managers.

Annualized cumulative implied alpha and interquartile ranges gross of fee, 2018-2021




Source: BlackRock Investment Institute and eVestment, December 2021. Note: The chart shows the ranges of cumulative annualized implied alpha (median, top and bottom quartiles) before and through Covid for managers in the eVestment database (see Appendix). It also shows that the dispersion – or width – of the distribution is wider in the Covid period. The pre-Covid period spans July 2018 to December 2019 and the Covid period spans January 2020 to June 2021. We calculate implied alpha using the method described on the previous page. We look at an eVestment database of 2898 managers across asset classes – see Appendix for details – and show a sample here. We find that dispersion of alpha was higher post Covid – both median and top quartile alpha was higher but also that returns in the bottom end of the distribution were lower. Alphas are calculated gross of fees. If fees were included, alpha and returns for the investor would be lower.

Top-quartile performance higher in Covid than pre-Covid

Based on our implied alpha estimates, top-quartile managers delivered more alpha after the Covid-19 shock than in the period before, suggesting an ability to take advantage of the heightened volatility.

Cumulative annualized implied alpha, June 2018-Dec. 2019 vs. Jan. 2020-June 2021




Past performance is not a reliable indicator of current or future results. This information is not intended as a recommendation to invest in any particular asset class or strategy or as a promise - or even estimate - of future performance. Source: BlackRock Investment Institute and eVestment, December 2021. Notes: The charts show the cumulative alpha - gross of fees - as of end of June 2021 (Covid) for the top quartile of managers sorted by IR alpha along with the cumulative implied alpha as of Dec 2019 (pre-Covid) in the eVestment database (see Appendix). We sort the managers within each asset class by their IR alpha as of December 2019 and we track the top quartile managers throughout the period Jan 2020 to June 2021 and show their cumulative implied alpha. Implied alpha is computed on a monthly basis, from Jan 2020 to June 2021, assuming the managers have the same betas (factor exposures) as of end of December 2019. Implied alpha is given by the difference between active (excess returns) and sum product of betas and factor returns. We do the same thing for the pre-Covid period - select top quartile as of June 2018 and follow them until end of December 2019.

Top-quartile managers outperformed peers through Covid

Top-quartile managers – sorted by alpha IR – generally outperformed managers in the other quartiles by asset class.

Cumulative annualized implied alpha, December 2019-June 2021




**** 99% confidence *** 95% confidence ** 90% confidence * 75% confidence

Past performance is not a reliable indicator of current or future results. This information is not intended as a recommendation to invest in any particular asset class or strategy or as a promise - or even estimate - of future performance. Source: BlackRock Investment Institute and eVestment, December 2021. Notes: the charts show the cumulative alpha as of end of June 2021 for the top quartile of managers sorted by IR alpha along with their peers (all the fund managers but the top quartile) in the eVestment database (see Appendix). We sort the managers within each asset class by their IR alpha as of December 2019 and we track the top quartile managers throughout the period Jan 2020 to June 2021 and show their cumulative implied alpha. Five-year regression in December 2019 is used to assess performance over the next 18 months. We find similar results when looking at excess returns instead of alpha IRs, suggesting the results are robust.

Persistence of alpha performance higher during Covid

Even accounting for error in our alpha estimates, we find higher persistence over the Covid period, and higher persistence in fixed income than equity.

Manager rank correlation pre-Covid and after with uncertainty bands, 2018-2021




This information is not intended as a recommendation to invest in any particular asset class or strategy or as a promise - or even estimate - of future performance.

Source: BlackRock Investment Institute and eVestment, December 2021. Notes: the chart shows rank correlation for managers in the eVestment database in the 18 months prior to December 2019 (pre Covid) period and the 18 months post December 2019 (Covid) to determine persistence in patterns. We rank by IR end June 2018 and December 2019 and calculate implied alpha for these managers over the following 18 months and rank them. Next, we calculate rank correlation plus confidence intervals between beginning and end of the period rankings. Higher rank correlation than zero suggests that good managers tend to stay good and bad managers tend to stay bad.

High yield alpha was volatile during the Covid shock

Top-quartile managers in high yield saw alpha suffer more in the shock and took longer to recover, suggesting asset-class specific issues – such as liquidity – played a role.

Average top-quartile cumulative implied alpha in selected asset classes, 2020-2021



This information is not intended as a recommendation to invest in any particular asset class or strategy or as a promise - or even estimate - of future performance.

Source: BlackRock Investment Institute, December 2021. Note: the chart shows the average cumulative implied alpha within the top quartile of IR alpha managers. We select the top quartile of mangers by alpha information ratio as of Dec 2019 and plot their average cumulative implied alpha from Jan 2020 to June 2021.

Our framework applies to the net-zero transition

Sustainable investing will impact returns through all three drivers: pure alpha, common alpha and factors. Our <u>recent paper</u> highlights the use of innovative research to identify alpha, the innovation in the construction of rules-based index methodologies and the link between sustainability and factors.

Blending returns is consistent with the rise of sustainability

- Prior to the availability of specific sustainable index products, innovative alpha-seeking managers could follow sustainable strategies in their portfolio.
- Any excess return from these first-mover managers would have initially been pure alpha, but with greater awareness and adoption across the industry it becomes more akin to common alpha.
- A plethora of new indexes* is increasingly allowing for a variety of bespoke exposures giving end investors access to this source of return via cost effective index products.
- The commoditization is not yet complete, in our view, as the definition of "sustainability" is not universally accepted, measured or indeed understood, as shown by the focus on E but not S or G in our CMAs. We also see a range of different implementations of sustainability in portfolios.
- Sustainable data also provides promising ground to enhance traditional factor investing, such as with aspects of sustainable behaviour linked to the quality style factor.
- Just like broader alpha, tomorrow's sustainable alpha is yet to be invented.

Bottom line: Sustainability will remain part of pure alpha, common alpha and factors going forward, in our view.

*We do not use sustainable indices in our work yet as their commoditization is too recent for us to include in our historical analysis. Today's sustainable strategies will contribute to pure or common alpha, depending on how widely they are utilised

Conclusion

The Covid-19 shock provided something of a natural experiment to see alpha-seeking managers' ability to deliver what we call pure alpha.

Factor exposures still play a large role in manager returns – and even more so during Covid-19. Investors who allocate to managers with factor exposures could see increased volatility in times of market stress.

We need to understand the drivers of returns and their costs when building portfolios:

- The approach to blending returns is a whole-portfolio one
- Allocating to alpha has high governance costs
- It is important to know the returns you are buying

Bottom line: These results reinforce our view that alpha is a key component of the strategic asset allocation (SAA) over the long run if you have confidence in being able to consistently pick top-quartile managers.

Appendix

Methodology

We use time series regression to remove factors and other market indices from manager excess returns to estimate the underlying idiosyncratic alpha. We go beyond the usual Ordinary Least Squares technique in an attempt to reduce overfitting and use procedures such as Lasso regression with various cross validation approaches and Least Angle Regression (a form of 'stepwise' Lasso). While none of these approaches can eliminate overfitting, and all will be noisy procedures producing estimates of alpha that will only be approximate, we observe that the results are broadly consistent across the econometric approach used. These approaches are all backward looking and can be difficult to understand. Expert judgement from a manager research team on an individual manager can help bring clarity to what they did. For manager specific forward-looking views, econometrics can again be improved with a manager research view or priors on some of the underlying variables. Ang et al. (2021) for one possible approach.

Appendix – Index proxies

	Asset class/Factor	Index
	U.S. large-cap value	MSCI USA ENHANCED VALUE
	U.S. large-cap quality	MSCI USA SECTOR NEUTRAL QLTY
	U.S. large-cap min-vol	MSCI USA MINIMUM VOLATILITY
	U.S. large-cap momentum	MSCI USA MOMENTUM
	U.S. small cap	MSCI USA Small Cap
	Emerging markets	MSCI EM
	DM, ex-U.S.	MSCI EAFE
	U.S. large-cap	MSCIUSA
	DM, ex-U.S. value	MSCI EAFE Value
	DM, ex-U.S. quality	MSCI EAFE QUALITY
	DM, ex-U.S. min vol	MSCI EAFE MINIMUM VOLATILITY
	DM, ex-U.S. momentum	MSCI EAFE MOMENTUM
	DM, ex-U.S. small-cap	MSCI EAFE Small
Equities	Europe large-cap value	MSCI EUROPE ENHANCED VALUE
	Europe large-cap quality	MSCI EUROPE SECTOR NEUTRAL QLTY
	Europe large-cap min-vol	MSCI EUROPE EUR MINIMUM VOLATILITY
	Europe large-cap momentum	MSCI EUROPE MOMENTUM
	Europe small-cap	MSCI EUROPE SMALL
	Europe large-cap	MSCI EUROPE
	UK large-cap value	MSCI UNITED KINGDOM VALUE
	UK large-cap quality	MSCI UNITED KINGDOM QUALITY
	UK large-cap min vol	MSCI UK MINIMUM VOL
	UK large-cap momentum	MSCI UNITED KINGDOM MOMENTUM
	UK small-cap	MSCI UNITED KINGDOM SMALL
	UK large-ca	MSCIUK
	EM value	MSCI EM ENHANCED VALUE
	EM quality	MSCI EM QUALITY
	EM min-vol	MSCI EM MINIMUM VOLATILITY
	EM momentum	MSCI EM MOMENTUM
	EM small-cap	MSCI EM SMALL
	U.S. high yield	IBOXX \$ LIQUID HIGH YIELD INDEX
	U.S. corporates and credit	IBOXX \$ LIQUID INVESTMENT GRADE INDEX
	EM, hard currency debt	JPM EMBI Global Core Index
Fixed income & credit	U.S. long-dated government bonds	ICE BofA 7-10 Year US Treasury Index
	EM debt	JPM GBI-EM GLOBAL DIVERS Composite
	U.S. inflation-linked bonds	Bloomberg U.S. Treasury: U.S. TIPS USD
	U.S. government bonds	Bloomberg U.S. Aggregate USD
	Europe corporates	ICE BofA Euro Corporate Index
	Europe high yield	IBOXX EUR LIQUID HIGH YIELD INDEX
	Europe long-dated government bonds	ICE BofA 7-10 Year Euro Government Index
	Euro inflation-linked bonds	ICE BofA Euro Inflation-Linked Government Index

Appendix – eVestment fund database

Asset	Number of funds
U.S. equities - large-cap value	315
U.S. equities – large-cap growth	237
U.S. equities – large-cap blend	295
U.S. equities – mid-caps	209
U.S. equities – small-cap	505
EM equities	352
DM ex-U.S. equities	152
UK equities	83
Europe equities	90
U.S. corporates and credit	151
U.S. high yield	152
U.S. fixed income – core	217
EM hard currency debt	66
Europe corporates	74
Total	2898

Source: BlackRock Investment Institute with data from eVestment, November 2021. Note: the table shows the list of funds by asset class we considered for our analysis. eVestmentis a third-party data provider. More detail s are available at https://www.evestment.com/research/trends/

BlackRock Investment Institute

The <u>BlackRock Investment Institute</u> (BII) leverages the firm's expertise and generates proprietary research to provide insights on the global economy, markets, geopolitics and long-term asset allocation – all to help our clients and portfolio managers navigate financial markets. BII offers strategic and tactical market views, publications and digital tools that are underpinned by proprietary research.

Index Disclosures: Index returns are for illustrative purposes only and do not represent any actual fund performance. Index performance returns do not reflect any management fees, transaction costs or expenses. Indices are unmanaged and one cannot invest directly in an index.

General disclosure: This material is intended for information purposes only, and does not constitute investment advice, a recommendation or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any securities to any person in any jurisdiction in which an offer, solicitation, purchase or sale would be unlawful under the securities laws of such jurisdiction. The opinions expressed are as of February 2022 and are subject to change without notice. Reliance upon information in this material is at the sole discretion of the reader. Investing involves risks.

BlackRock Investment Institute

In the U.S., this material is intended for Institutional use only, not for public distribution. In Canada, this material is intended for institutional investors only. In EMEA, In the UK and Non-European Economic Area (EEA) countries: this is Issued by BlackRock Investment Management (UK) Limited, authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Registered office: 12 Throgmorton Avenue, London, EC2N 2DL. Tel: + 44 (0)20 7743 3000. Registered in England and Wales No. 02020394. For your protection telephone calls are usually recorded. Please refer to the Financial Conduct Authority website for a list of authorised activities conducted by BlackRock. In the European Economic Area (EEA): this is Issued by BlackRock (Netherlands) B.V. is authorised and regulated by the Netherlands Authority for the Financial Markets. Registered office Amstelplein 1, 1096 HA, Amsterdam, Tel: 020 – 549 5200, Tel: 31-20-549-5200. Trade Register No. 17068311 For your protection telephone calls are usually recorded. For qualified investors in Switzerland: This document is marketing material. Until 31 December 2021, this document shall be exclusively made available to, and directed at, qualified investors as defined in the Swiss Collective Investment Schemes Act of 23 June 2006 ("CISA"), as amended. From 1 January 2022, this document shall be exclusively made available to, and directed at, qualified investors as defined in Article 10 (3) of the CISA of 23 June 2006, as amended, at the exclusion of qualified investors with an opting-out pursuant to Art. 5 (1) of the Swiss Federal Act on Financial Services ("FinSA"). For information on art. 8 / 9 Financial Services Act (FinSA) and on your client segmentation under art. 4 FinSA, please see the following website: www.blackrock.com/finsa For investors in Israel: BlackRock Investment Management (UK) Limited is not licensed under Israel's Regulation of Investment Advice, Investment Marketing and Portfolio Management Law, 5755-1995 (the "Advice Law"), nor does it carry insurance thereunder. In South Africa, please be advised that BlackRock Investment Management (UK) Limited is an authorized financial services provider with the South African Financial Services Board, FSP No. 43288. In the DIFC this material can be distributed in and from the Dubai International Financial Centre (DIFC) by BlackRock Advisors (UK) Limited — Dubai Branch which is regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority (DFSA). This material is only directed at 'Professional Clients' and no other person should rely upon the information contained within it. Blackrock Advisors (UK) Limited - Dubai Branch is a DIFC Foreign Recognised Company registered with the DIFC Registrar of Companies (DIFC Registered Number 546), with its office at Unit L15 - 01A, ICD Brookfield Place, Dubai International Financial Centre, PO Box 506661, Dubai, UAE, and is regulated by the DFSA to engage in the regulated activities of 'Advising on Financial Products' and 'Arranging Deals in Investments' in or from the DIFC, both of which are limited to units in a collective investment fund (DFSA Reference Number F000738). In the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Issued in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) by BlackRock Saudi Arabia (BSA), authorised and regulated by the Capital Market Authority (CMA), License No. 18-192-30. Registered under the laws of KSA, Registered office: 29th floor, Olaya Towers – Tower B, 3074 Prince Mohammed bin Abdulaziz St., Olaya District, Rivadh 12213 – 8022, KSA, Tel: +966 11 838 3600. The information contained within is intended strictly for Sophisticated Investors as defined in the CMA Implementing Regulations. Neither the CMA or any other authority or regulator located in KSA has approved this information. The information contained within, does not constitute and should not be construed as an offer of, invitation or proposal to make an offer for, recommendation to apply for or an opinion or guidance on a financial product, service and/or strategy. Any distribution, by whatever means, of the information within and related material to persons other than those referred to above is strictly prohibited. In the United Arab Emirates this material is only intended for -natural Qualified Investor as defined by the Securities and Commodities Authority (SCA) Chairman Decision No. 3/R.M. of 2017 concerning Promoting and Introducing Regulations. Neither the DFSA or any other authority or regulator located in the GCC or MENA region has approved this information. In Singapore, this is issued by BlackRock (Singapore) Limited (Co. registration no. 200010143N) for use only with institutional investors as defined in Section 4A of the Securities and Futures Act, Chapter 289 of Singapore, This advertisement or publication has not been reviewed by the Monetary Authority of Singapore, In Hong Kong, this material is issued by BlackRock Asset Management North Asia Limited and has not been reviewed by the Securities and Futures Commission of Hong Kong, This material is for distribution to "Professional Investors" (as defined in the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap.571 of the laws of Hong Kong) and any rules made under that ordinance.) and should not be relied upon by any other persons or redistributed to retail clients in Hong Kong. In South Korea, this material is for distribution to the Qualified Professional Investors (as defined in the Financial Investment Services and Capital Market Act and its sub-regulations), In Taiwan, independently operated by BlackRock Investment Management (Taiwan) Limited, Address: 28F., No. 100, Songren Rd., Xinyi Dist., Taipei City 110, Taiwan, Tel: (02)23261600. In Japan, this is issued by BlackRock Japan. Co., Ltd. (Financial Instruments Business Operator: The Kanto Regional Financial Bureau. License No375, Association Memberships: Japan Investment Advisers Association, the Investment Trusts Association, Japan, Japan Securities Dealers Association, Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association.) For Professional Investors only (Professional Investor is defined in Financial Instruments and Exchange Act). In Australia, issued by BlackRock Investment Management (Australia) Limited ABN 13 006 165 975 AFSL 230 523 (BIMAL) for the exclusive use of the recipient, who warrants by receipt of this material that they are a wholesale client as defined under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth). This material is intended for wholesale clients only and must not be relied or acted upon by retail clients. The material provides general information only and does not take into account your individual objectives, financial situation, needs or circumstances. In China, this material may not be distributed to individuals resident in the People's Republic of China ("PRC", for such purposes, excluding Hong Kong, Macau and Taiwan) or entities registered in the PRC unless such parties have received all the required PRC government approvals to participate in any investment or receive any investment advisory or investment management services. For Southeast Asia: This document is issued by BlackRock and is intended for the exclusive use of any recipient who warrants, by receipt of this material, that such recipient is an institutional investors or professional/sophisticated/qualified/accredited/expert investor as such term may apply under the relevant legislations in Southeast Asia (for such purposes, includes only Malaysia, the Philippines, Thailand, Brunei and Indonesia). BlackRock does not hold any regulatory licenses or registrations in Southeast Asia countries listed above, and is therefore not licensed to conduct any regulated business activity under the relevant laws and regulations as they apply to any entity intending to carry on business in Southeast Asia, nor does BlackRock purport to carry on, any regulated activity in any country in Southeast Asia. BlackRock funds, and/or services shall not be offered or sold to any person in any jurisdiction in which such an offer, solicitation, purchase, or sale would be deemed unlawful under the securities laws or any other relevant laws of such jurisdiction(s). The distribution of the information contained herein may be restricted by law and any person who accesses it is required to comply with any such restrictions. For Other APAC Countries, this material is issued for Institutional Investors only (or professional/sophisticated/qualified investors, as such term may apply in local jurisdictions) and does not constitute investment advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell in any securities, BlackRock funds or any investment strategy nor shall any securities be offered or sold to any person in any jurisdiction in which an offer, solicitation, purchase or sale would be unlawful under the securities laws of such jurisdiction. In Latin America, for institutional investors and financial intermediaries only (not for public distribution). This material is for educational purposes only it is your responsibility to inform yourself of, and to observe, all applicable laws and regulations of your relevant jurisdiction. In Mexico, these materials are being shared in the understanding that the addressee is an Institutional or Qualified investor as defined under Mexican Securities (Ley del Mercado de Valores).

@2022 BlackRock, Inc., All Rights Reserved. BLACKROCK is a registered trademark of BlackRock, Inc., or its subsidiaries in the United States and elsewhere. All other trademarks are those of their respective owners.