Dealing With Divergence

- Divergent economic growth and monetary policy underpin our 2015 base case. We expect tightening financial conditions in the U.S. and U.K. due to a pickup in growth and improving labor markets. Lackluster growth and low inflation expectations support looser monetary policy elsewhere. Falling oil prices should support growth in most countries and hinder it in a few.

- Financial markets and economies are diverging as well. The financial cycle has leapfrogged the business cycle in most countries. Asset valuations and investor complacency are high, likely triggering bursts of market volatility. Bonds are becoming less effective diversifiers for equities due to ultra-low yields.

- Many asset owners have embraced momentum trades. This makes for sudden stops when the driver hits the brakes. It is smart to hedge against downside in this climate and cut back on “me-too” investments.

- The world is characterized by many regional “zero-sum conflicts” that have no quick solutions (think Ukraine and Middle East). The specter of a European Union (EU) breakup could return with a Greek vote and U.K. elections that will likely pave the way for a referendum on its EU membership.

- The prospect of U.S. rate hikes and a shrinking trade deficit support a stronger dollar—but we brace ourselves for temporary reversals. We see the U.S. Federal Reserve (Fed) ending its rate rises at a lower level than in recent decades. A global hunger for yield should cap any spikes in 10-year Treasury yields. Cyclical stocks should outperform defensive equities as growth ticks up.

- The eurozone is a low-flying plane that constantly hits air pockets. This causes occasional lifts and near-death experiences. A falling euro and the prospect of more monetary stimulus support European equities and credit sectors. Solid U.K. growth, by contrast, sets the scene for a Bank of England (BoE) rate hike.

- Bank of Japan (BoJ) Governor Haruhiko Kuroda has upped the ante on his massive monetary stimulus, and the country’s largest pension fund is more than doubling its equity allocation. Aside from “Kurodanomics,” we like Japanese equities due to cheap valuations, rising dividends and a new focus on return on equity.

- Emerging markets (EM) are really diverging markets. We prefer countries implementing reforms to open up their economies; a little reform can go a long way in boosting asset values. We like hard-currency EM debt due to relatively high yields. Equity valuations are cheap—but free cash-flow growth is hard to find.

- How to deal with all this divergence? It calls for very active risk management and extending investment horizons through longer holding periods. The main point is to have a plan: Readiness rules in 2015.
**2015 Base Case**

**THE YEAR OF LIVING DIVERGENTLY**  

**FINANCIAL CONDITIONS**

- **MORE TIGHT(ER)**
- **LESS LOOSE(R)**

---

**GROWTH AND POLICY**

- U.S. growth is on an upswing. We expect the Fed to start raising rates in 2015 and the yield curve to flatten.
- Eurozone growth could surprise on the upside due to rock-bottom expectations. The European Central Bank (ECB) looks likely to deliver on market hopes for full quantitative easing (QE).
- Japan’s monster bet on monetary stimulus brings both short-term opportunities (equities) and long-term risks (debt blowout).
- China is digging deeper in its monetary policy tool box to stave off an even bigger growth downdraft as it attempts reforms—a balancing act.

**OUR INVESTMENTS**

- We like Japanese and European equities due to cheap valuations and monetary boosters. We favor U.S. cyclicals over defensives as the Fed tightens.
- We prefer credit sectors such as U.S. high yield and European bank debt over sovereign debt.
- We like hard-currency EM debt, and favor U.S. Treasuries over other safe-haven bonds.
- We like income-paying real assets such as property and infrastructure, but want to get compensated for being illiquid.
- Our contrarian idea: beaten-up natural resources equities as a hedge if U.S. dollar strength fades.

**AIR POCKETS**

- Nominal risk-free rates should stay low for long. This means low rates of return—unless assets become oversold or investors use leverage.
- The foundation for a strong U.S. dollar is in place, yet the journey to long-term appreciation is tricky. Expect a bumpy ride.
- Volatility is set to return. Elevated valuations and a voodoo-like belief in momentum raise the cost of mistakes. The key is to have a plan beforehand.
- Stocks and bonds could fall in lock step, challenging traditional diversification. Relative value strategies and alternative investments can help.

---

Sources: BlackRock Investment Institute and IMF, October 2014. Notes: Nominal growth forecasts are from the IMF World Economic Outlook. Global GDP weights of economies are based on purchasing power parity, rather than on current exchange rates. Financial conditions are BlackRock’s estimates of monetary policy, fiscal policy, exchange rates and credit conditions.
First Words

Some 120 BlackRock portfolio managers and executives discussed what is in store for markets next year at our 2015 Outlook Forum in mid-November in London. The semi-annual event, the seventh of its kind, was marked by intense investment debates in small and large groups.

One exercise featured a pre-mortem: “It’s mid-2015 and one of your investments has blown up. Which one, and how could you have hedged it?” We pushed ourselves to re-examine these lower-conviction positions, many of them pro-growth or relying on price momentum. We debated our high-conviction investments in another—less morbid—session and have sprinkled them throughout this publication.

The reason for introspection: The financial market cycle has moved ahead of the economic one in many countries, partly as a result of QE. A torrent of monetary stimulus did not just suppress volatility, but pulled forward financial activity as well.

Valuations in most markets are rich and investor faith in monetary policy underpinning asset prices is high (pages 6–7). We reminded ourselves that periods of high returns are usually followed by low ones; the trick is picking the turning points. Bursts of market volatility from the current low levels are likely—and have the potential to wrong-foot investors (page 8). Easy hedges are tougher as stock and bond prices may start moving in the same direction. Also, yields are so low that bonds risk losing their role as shock absorbers (page 9).

Growth scares and tumbling resources prices have led to sharp falls in inflation expectations. The worry for central bankers: Even medium-term inflation expectations (which discount swings in energy prices) are falling fast, particularly in the eurozone. This suggests central banks alone cannot prevent disinflation. Increased public spending may be needed to reflate economies—but many governments are unwilling (or unable) to loosen their purse strings.

The global recovery from the 2008 financial crisis has been an unusually tepid one. Nominal growth in 2015 is expected to be below the 15-year trend in most economies, according to International Monetary Fund (IMF) forecasts, with the U.S. and Japan notable exceptions.

Many pro-growth assumptions—rising wages and inflation, a behind-the-curve Fed and an uptick in global growth—did not pan out in 2014. GDP forecasts have a history of downward revisions. See the chart to the left. The oil price decline, if sustained, could reverse this trend in 2015 as it should boost real growth in major economies. See the chart above.

The price fall should dampen headline inflation in the developed world. This could strengthen calls for monetary stimulus in weak economies and help keep a lid on bond yields in stronger ones. Lower energy prices benefit many EM nations due to improved trade balances, reduced government subsidies and lower inflation. India, Indonesia and Thailand should be winners, we believe. Oil exporter Nigeria could be a casualty.
**LIQUIDITY DRAIN?**

Monetary policy around the globe is increasingly diverging, with tightening financial conditions in the U.S. and U.K. and mostly looser policies elsewhere (page 3). The Fed may start raising rates as wages rise in an improving labor market, but halt its tightening cycle at a historically low level (pages 14–15). The strengthening U.S. dollar is a dampener on global liquidity (pages 10–11).

Liquidity injections by global central banks slowed in 2014 as the Fed wound down its bond buying program. The BoJ has grabbed the baton and doubled down on its monetary stimulus. See the chart on the right. Does 120 yen of BoJ QE really equal $1 of Fed QE? Some of Kuroda’s largesse will undoubtedly pass through Narita Airport, but its effect on most global markets is likely limited.

The eurozone is in a rut (pages 16–17). The ECB is committed to expanding its balance sheet and may finally unleash the monetary stimulus markets have been hoping for: buying of sovereign bonds. Japan is holding off on raising its sales tax as its economy has again dipped into recession (page 13).

Nominal growth in many emerging markets is subdued, according to the latest IMF forecasts, as export machines throttle back (pages 18–19). India and China are still growing at very high absolute levels, however, and both countries are relaxing monetary policy. China’s growth is coming off an ever larger base, meaning its absolute demand for commodities and machinery is still increasing.

Regional political and military conflicts abound (page 12) and could trigger wholesale “risk-off” market moves if not contained. Next year’s calendar is led by the U.K. elections, which could revive talk of an EU breakup, but is lighter on key emerging market polls than 2014 (Nigeria is an exception). See the map below for other key events.

**PASSING THE BUCK**

Central Bank Liquidity Injections, 2009–2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>ECB LTRO</th>
<th>Bank of Japan</th>
<th>U.S. Federal Reserve</th>
<th>European Central Bank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: BlackRock Investment Institute and Citi Research, November 2014.

Notes: Liquidity injections are net securities purchases plus long-term repos. Data are rolling three-month averages. LTRO is long-term refinancing operation, the ECB program to provide financing to eurozone banks.

**MARK YOUR CALENDAR**

Events to Watch in 2015

- **Canada**
  - General Election
    - Oct 19
- **U.S.**
  - Key Fed Meetings
    - Jan 29–30
    - Mar 19–20
    - Apr/May 30–1
    - Jun 18–19
  - Debt Ceiling
    - Mar 15
- **Mexico**
  - Congress Election
    - July
- **U.K.**
  - General Election
    - May 7
- **Argentina**
  - Presidential Election
    - October
- **Nigeria**
  - General Election
    - Feb 14
    - by Oct 11
  - General Election
    - Dec 20
- **Portugal**
  - General Election
    - Dec 20
- **Spain**
  - Budget Release
    - February
- **Lithuania**
  - Joins Euro
    - Jan 1
- **Poland**
  - General Election
    - October
- **Turkey**
  - National Assembly Election
    - Jun 13
- **India**
  - Budget Release
    - February

Source: BlackRock Investment Institute, November 2014.
Valuations

Easy monetary policy has suppressed volatility and pushed up asset prices across the board. Many assets look cheap only because everything else is so expensive. Valuations range from sky-high (safe-haven government bonds) to average (most credit and developed equities). See the chart below.

Not much has changed in the past year, with three exceptions:

- Eurozone investment grade credit has become more expensive and most sovereign debt has rocketed to record valuations. Spanish 10-year bonds have returned 73% since ECB President Mario Draghi in 2012 promised to do “whatever it takes” to preserve the euro.

- U.S. equities have moved up to the 77th percentile of their historical valuation range. The good news: Gains have been aided by robust profit growth.

- EM equities are diverging, with Indian stocks re-rating upward but most other equities becoming even cheaper. EM hard currency debt appears to be good value.

The bottom line on valuations: We have picked the low-hanging fruit and are now high up on an increasingly shaky ladder, reaching for the remainder. It is an accident waiting to happen—unless we occasionally take a few steps back to make sure the ladder is well balanced.

Government bonds rallied throughout much of 2014, defying consensus forecasts for a gradual rise in yields. Disappointing growth, limited supply and a steady bid for yield from asset owners with long-term liabilities helped bonds outperform. Inflows into exchange-traded bond funds looked set to beat the previous bumper year of 2012, when the eurozone crisis triggered a dash for safe-haven bonds. See the chart above.

Sources: BlackRock Investment Institute and Thomson Reuters, Nov. 30, 2014. Notes: Percentile ranks show valuations of assets versus their historical ranges. Example: If an asset is in the 75th percentile, this means it trades at a valuation equal to or greater than 75% of its history. Valuation percentiles are based on an aggregation of standard valuation measures versus their long-term history. Government bonds are 10-year benchmark issues. Credit series are based on Barclays indexes and the spread over government bonds. Treasury Inflation Protected Securities (TIPS) are represented by nominal U.S. 10-year Treasuries minus inflation expectations. Equity valuations are based on MSCI indexes and are an average of percentile ranks versus available history of earnings yield, cyclically adjusted earnings yield, trend real earnings, dividend yield, price to book, price to cash flow and forward 12-month earnings yield. Historical ranges extend back anywhere from 1969 (developed equities) to 2004 (EM$ Debt).
COMPLACENCY

The U.S. high yield market is a canary in the coal mine. It is at the bottom of the capital structure (only equities are lower), where defaults first bite. The performance of high yield bonds, therefore, should be company specific and varied. If you are not getting dispersed returns in this asset class, something is wrong: Investors are too complacent.

Whenever dispersion falls to trough levels (we define these as the 10th decile of a range going back to the mid-1980s), markets often reverse sharply. See the chart below. Our complacency gauge once again is flashing red. Note: We still expect U.S. high yield to perform well in the near term due to solid U.S. growth and low default rates. Yet our gauge suggests we are closer to the end of the financial cycle than the economic cycle.

Bottom line: There appears to be more downside if we are wrong than upside if we are right. So perhaps it is time to insulate portfolios. Go up in quality and liquidity. Use no or less leverage. Avoid large positions in markets that are running on momentum fumes. Position for future relative value opportunities. Accept lower returns now to get ready for bigger ones later.

“High yield is a canary-in-the-coal-mine kind of asset. It should have a lot of dispersion (of returns). When you get to these periods where high yield doesn’t have dispersion, it’s telling you something. I call that complacency.”

— Tom Parker
Deputy Chief Investment Officer,
BlackRock Model-Based Fixed Income

ALTERNATIVE ASSESSMENT

Heady valuations, low dispersion and lots of volatility: The case for alternative investments has rarely been stronger. Or has it? It depends in large part on whether investors are adequately compensated for taking liquidity risk (the risk of locking up funds and forgoing opportunities elsewhere). Here is a rundown:

Private Equity (PE): Valuations are frothy. PE outfits are selling everything they can in public markets at valuations well above 2006 levels. Be selective; Leverage can never turn a bad asset into a good one. The market has not peaked yet, in our view. Beware when PE firms start buying publicly listed stocks rather than private assets.

Infrastructure: The infrastructure story is tantalizing—trillions of dollars needed in infrastructure upgrades and a global wall of money seeking yield. Yet the investable universe is small and funds take a long time to invest. Infrastructure debt is long-duration (up to 25 years or more) with limited liquidity. This is fine, as long as you are in for the long haul and get paid for your patience. We typically avoid riskier greenfield projects.

Real Estate: Inflows from frustrated fixed income investors have increased liquidity—but also inflated valuations. Yet opportunities remain as prices vary widely within regions, markets and property types. We favor U.S. core real estate, especially office buildings and multi-family dwellings, as U.S. growth and the dollar are set to rise. We expect above-average annual returns over 2015–2017 in this area. We also see opportunities to refurbish office buildings in major Western European markets. And we like China. A credit crunch in the real estate sector has left over-leveraged projects in need of equity injections. We particularly like shopping centers in second-tier Chinese cities.

Credit: Debt covenants offer ever less protection in public credit markets. Investors willing to take on liquidity risk can negotiate better terms in private markets. Other opportunities include peer-to-peer lending (although here, too, an influx of new investors is starting to depress returns) and the stable and unglamorous business of mortality risk in insurance portfolios. Distressed credit is largely dormant. We prefer to wait for a spike in default rates to 5% or more to sift through the wreckage.
Volatility

Many asset owners have taken on more risk than they would normally do to compensate for low yields. Many are out of their comfort zones and ready to quickly pull the plug.

Ultra-low volatility and dispersion have reduced relative-value opportunities. Momentum rules—and equity investments are clustered around common return factors. Yield-seeking trades dominate fixed income. This results in three trends:

- Credit: down in quality and down in liquidity.
- Equities: concentrated industry and company investments that are tilted toward future growth.
- Outperformance = taking on more risk through increased position sizes and leverage.

These trends can easily reverse. The result: Short bursts of volatility, worsened by poor liquidity in popular markets such as corporate bonds. See The Liquidity Challenge of June 2014. We had two wake-up calls in 2014: the spring sell-off in U.S. Internet and biotech stocks and the global risk-off move in October on growth fears. These were painful shifts. Yet the spikes merely mean markets are returning to their volatile normal.

Many assume these volatility bursts will quickly subside and be great buying opportunities, just as they were in 2014. They could be right; and therefore it is smart to keep dry powder to exploit these opportunities. (Cash will do just fine.)

Yet volatility may not calm down. Market swings can range from a temporary 10% sell-off to a prolonged and disastrous 50% loss—and the difference is hard to tell as events unfold. This means it is important to put safeguards in place, such as setting stop-losses and reducing momentum trades. Generating alpha (excess returns above a benchmark) is in large part about avoiding mistakes in this climate—not just about hitting winners.

Even if a sell-off proves to be fleeting, it helps to have a strategy beforehand: Do you take down risk at the first sign of trouble or sit it out? For investors with long-term horizons, the answer will likely be the latter—which makes a lot of sense. For those with shorter-term investment goals, the answer is not as clear-cut because alpha opportunities are fewer and less lucrative. Consider:

- The cost of being wrong is likely to go up. It is prudent to protect against downside risk when assets are richly valued, even if this translates into mild underperformance in the short run. Volatility is cheap, so this is the time to buy put options to protect against market sell-offs, use “upside hedges” through call options on risk assets or employ more complicated hedging strategies. The point is to have some airbags.

Smart investors are going to be wrong often. (Exception: Warren Buffett. It is tough to be a mini-Buffett, however. Many try—and fail. And even He fails once in a while.) Being stubborn for too long does not pay. You may be right in the end, but it is tough to make up for lost opportunities elsewhere. A successful investor needs to have an unequivocal answer to the question: “What would you rather do: Be right or make money?”

Stop-losses—selling (part of) a position that is declining in value at a predetermined price to avoid further losses—do not typically maximize returns. They do, however, minimize drawdowns and cut risk. We use stop-losses in many forms: One of us advocates “cut small and cut early,” a sort of behavioral stop-loss that helps avoid panic. “Get-me-out!” decisions when a position is down significantly. Another uses an initial “soft stop” that forces a rethink of the investment thesis, followed by hard-stops in case the position deteriorates further.

Bottom line: Have readiness rules in place when volatility strikes again.

WANTED: AIRBAGS

Sources: BlackRock Investment Institute and Thomson Reuters, November 2014.

Notes: Volatility is measured as the standard deviation of daily returns over a rolling 30-day window on an annualized basis. The purple bars show the 10th to 90th percentile over the past 20 years. The green lines show the median over this period. Bond volatility is based on an average of 10-year U.S. Treasuries, German Bunds, Japanese JGBs and U.K. Gilts. Stock volatility is based on MSCI indexes. Commodities volatility is based on an average of gold, copper and oil.

Back to a Volatile Normal

Realized Volatility by Asset Class, 1994–2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volatility</th>
<th>Bonds</th>
<th>U.S. Dollar</th>
<th>World Stocks</th>
<th>EM Stocks</th>
<th>Commodities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: BlackRock Investment Institute and Thomson Reuters, November 2014.

Notes: Volatility is measured as the standard deviation of daily returns over a rolling 30-day window on an annualized basis. The purple bars show the 10th to 90th percentile over the past 20 years. The green lines show the median over this period. Bond volatility is based on an average of 10-year U.S. Treasuries, German Bunds, Japanese JGBs and U.K. Gilts. Stock volatility is based on MSCI indexes. Commodities volatility is based on an average of gold, copper and oil.
WHO STOLE MY HEDGE?

Tougher hedges could be another challenge. Safe-haven government bonds have been great shock absorbers for equity downturns. Medium-term correlations between U.S. stocks and bonds have been negative for most of the new millennium and currently hover around a low. See the chart above.

This pattern may be an anomaly: U.S. bonds and stocks may start moving in the same direction, as they have for much of the past century. At the very least, bonds will no longer offer a free lunch of both a solid return and hedge against stock downturns. This challenges traditional diversification in portfolios that allocate 60% to equities and 40% to bonds.

The other problem? Rates have fallen so low that there is little room for them to decline much further. Paltry yields mean it takes more bonds to hedge an equity portfolio. It would take five units of 10-year German Bunds for every one unit of equities to hedge against a 20% stock market sell-off, we estimate.

Ultra-low yields elsewhere make U.S. Treasuries a favorite asset in our current arsenal. They offer good value compared with other safe-haven bonds and remain a hedge against a major equity sell-off. The question is how much “insurance” you want to take out (forgoing opportunities elsewhere).

ANSWERING THE CALL

How to find diversification in this climate? Here are a couple of options:

- Focus on relative value strategies in fixed income. A market-neutral approach is insulated from rate rises (in theory)—and should thrive when volatility and dispersion rise.
- Consider other potential diversifiers such as infrastructure debt, real estate and long-duration assets chased off bank balance sheets (page 7).

Rising dispersion and declining correlations between and within asset classes should facilitate the search for hedges (and theoretically improve the ability of smart active managers to outperform their benchmarks).

The good news: Overall market correlations have fallen to pre-crisis levels in the past two years, our research shows. See the chart below. The bad news: Markets tend to revert to moving in lock step during risk-off periods. The October sell-off proves the point. This is the tricky thing about diversification: It often fails when you need it most.

A TRUSTED HEDGE

U.S. Equity and Bond Price Correlation, 1900–2014

A MATTER OF CONCENTRATION

BlackRock Asset Concentration Index, 2003–2014

“...The cost of being wrong is going up. The best we can do is have a plan. It’s not clear it’s going to work, but usually plan beats no plan...”

— Raffaele Savi
Co-Head, BlackRock’s Scientific Active Equities Team
Currency

A rising U.S. dollar should be the financial market trend setter of 2015. This means a de-facto tightening of global financial conditions because the greenback is still the world’s premier funding currency. More than 80% of international trade finance is settled in U.S. dollars, according to the Society for Worldwide Interbank Financial Telecommunication (SWIFT).

The second half of 2014 gave a possible glimpse of the future. Small-cap equities and high yield bonds started to fall just as the U.S. dollar took off. Large-cap equities stumbled, but recouped all of their losses—and more. See the chart below.

The strong U.S. dollar may have a lot further to run. The law of supply and demand is at work here:

- **Slowing supply growth:** The U.S. current account deficit is shrinking largely thanks to rising energy production at home (less need to import oil). See the chart above. The U.S. is set to overtake Saudi Arabia as the world’s top oil producer by 2015—and achieve self-sufficiency in energy within two decades, the International Energy Agency predicts. The end of QE also cuts the supply of dollars to the world to the tune of $750 billion a year (the average annual amount the Fed has bought since 2009).

- **More demand:** The Fed is set to raise interest rates in 2015—whereas the BoJ has ramped up its monetary stimulus and the ECB inches toward full-fledged QE. Policy divergence means more dispersion (and opportunities) in currencies. An increasing rate differential will likely keep demand for U.S. dollar assets strong. The U.S. economy boasts advantages over other developed economies (particularly Europe) in the long run, including an entrepreneurial and flexible labor force, leading research institutions and plentiful energy.

The bullish dollar view is reflected in futures markets positioning. Trades against the euro look especially crowded, with short positioning almost three standard deviations from the mean.

“Whenever there’s a consensus that’s so unanimous on the stronger dollar, my gut tells me it’s wrong.”

— Teun Draaisma
Portfolio Manager,
BlackRock’s Global Equities Team
**EM EMERGENCY?**

EM countries typically bear the brunt of a stronger U.S. dollar as funding sources dry up. Subsequent buying of local currencies to prevent them from sliding effectively tightens domestic financial conditions, we find.

Rising issuance of U.S. dollar corporate debt has created pockets of vulnerability. Yet EM local currency issuance is rising, reducing overall exposure to foreign exchange mismatches. Another positive: International investment positions (the difference between external financial assets and liabilities) are pretty strong (page 19). Most governments are now net creditors. (Warning: Companies often are debtors.)

EM countries will likely compete for liquidity by raising rates. This will cause slowdowns and currency gyrations. Plus, short-term asset price movements are often about flows and investor sentiment—which both can turn on a rupee. The good news: EM currencies and equities were relatively cheap when the U.S. dollar started its rise. A lot of adjustment has already happened.

A rising U.S. dollar also creates challenges for EM currencies linked to the greenback. The big question: Will China devalue? The Chinese Renminbi (RMB) has appreciated sharply against the yen and euro, and China’s current account is about balanced. This makes it tough to imagine the RMB appreciating further against the U.S. dollar. Devaluation has pros (loosening financial conditions to offset a property slowdown and making exports more competitive) and cons (volatility and risk of deposit flight).

**JOURNEY BEATS DESTINATION**

Is the bullish view on the U.S. dollar already priced in? And is further strength in the currency really a sure thing? We spent some time debating this. Highlights:

- Forecasting currency movements has created many orphans. Over the long run (five years or more), currencies track fundamentals such as interest rate differentials. Valuation often does not work in the short or medium term.

- Getting the direction right is one thing; getting the timing right is another. Appreciation cycles in the trade-weighted U.S. dollar typically last six to seven years. See the chart on the right. Expect air pockets along the way. The journey is more important than the destination. For example, the dollar may fall for a year, but rally over five years (requiring patience).

- Different paths to a stronger U.S. dollar could have different portfolio implications. The benign version: Stronger U.S. growth and rising rates lift the currency. A malign path: A global growth slowdown triggers safe-haven buying. Bottom line: Be humble about forecasting the U.S. dollar.

**WHY WE COULD BE WRONG**

The U.S. dollar’s rise has been muted compared with huge rallies seen in the early 1980s and late 1990s, especially given the wealth of intellectually tantalizing arguments for appreciation. See the chart below.

There are three possible explanations for this:

1. **We are underestimating markets’ Pavlovian tendencies.** Investors these days are conditioned to wait for monetary policy to dictate market moves. Markets are standing by until the Fed says: “Go!” Result: The U.S. dollar will only take off when Fed Chair Janet Yellen fires off her first rate hike.

2. **The monetary policy divergence story is wrong.** Most of us believe the U.S. economy should power ahead in 2015 (page 14), but some see a chance of growth disappointing (it has happened before). In that case, the Fed could delay its first rate hike to 2016. An even worse scenario for dollar bulls: U.S. economic momentum stalls, leading to expectations for a fourth round of QE. Or try this scenario across the Atlantic: The eurozone’s economy performs slightly better than expected (from a very low base), giving air cover for the ECB to hold back from further (controversial) monetary easing.

3. **The arguments for a stronger dollar could be too good to be true.** Whenever a consensus is so unanimous, our gut tells us it is wrong. Stretched positioning means even a mild disappointment to dollar bulls could prompt a sell-off in the currency. This would be a double whammy for those expecting a stronger U.S. dollar versus the euro, but a boon for EM assets and commodities.

---

**DOLLAR PERSPECTIVE**

Trade-weighted U.S. Dollar Index, 1973–2014

- Sources: BlackRock Investment Institute and U.S. Federal Reserve, November 2014. Note: The shaded areas show the periods of a rising U.S. dollar.
Political Risk

We generally worry more about economic risks (a China slowdown, rapid Fed rate hikes, deflation in the eurozone) than political risks. Yet the world is a very unstable place, characterized by a large number of regional “zero-sum conflicts.” These are disputes that are unlikely to be resolved by diplomacy; it is hard to imagine anybody negotiating peace treaties with the Islamic State or Boko Haram.

The conflict between Russia and the West over Ukraine and other former Soviet states is perhaps the greatest threat. President Vladimir Putin has proven he’s willing to cut off the nose (and more) to spite the face.

Our Risk and Quantitative Analysis group has a framework to assess political risks and their potential impact on economies, markets and our portfolios. See an example below for our key scenarios on the Ukraine conflict (minus portfolio impact). The status quo—frozen conflict—is already reflected in deeply discounted Russian equity markets. Yet an (unlikely) escalation of the conflict would reverberate beyond Russia and hit risk assets hard globally, we believe.

Other risks lurking in the background include territorial spats between China and its neighbors and the possibility of terrorist attacks in the West. See the table above.

SCENARIO PLANNING
Key Scenarios for Russia and Ukraine Conflict

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Economic Fallout</th>
<th>Market Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frozen conflict and continued sanctions</td>
<td>MEDIUM TO HIGH</td>
<td>European capex, exports and consumption hit.</td>
<td>DISCOUNTED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Russian economic slowdown and inflation.</td>
<td>Partial reversal of losses in Russian stocks, sovereigns and high yield bonds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overt Russian invasion, more sanctions and Russian retaliation</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>European economic slowdown and concern over gas supplies.</td>
<td>RISK-OFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Appropriation of foreign assets.</td>
<td>Russian stocks and ruble fall; sovereign and high yield bond spreads widen sharply.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Russian political unrest.</td>
<td>Global equities fall, particularly in Europe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian actions in the Baltics and elsewhere</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>Escalation of the above: risk of military conflict and NATO involvement.</td>
<td>GLOBAL RISK-OFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major sell-off in global equities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oil prices spike.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truce and resolution with some sanctions lifted</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>European business confidence and activity rebound.</td>
<td>RISK ON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Huge rally in Russian stocks; European equities tick up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>German (and global) yields rise; Russian bond spreads compress.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: BlackRock Investment Institute, November 2014.

“A WORLD OF RISK
Summary of Geopolitical Risks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Central Case</th>
<th>Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China Tensions</td>
<td>Provocations in South China Sea; China-Japan tensions in East China Sea</td>
<td>Asia (particularly Philippines or Vietnam)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyber Security</td>
<td>Ongoing attacks</td>
<td>Financial sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India/Pakistan</td>
<td>Possible Pakistani terror attack in India; escalation of Kashmir violence</td>
<td>Indian markets and currency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran Negotiations</td>
<td>Negotiations extended</td>
<td>Iran and energy sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East/Islamic State</td>
<td>Ongoing conflict; possible terrorist actions in West</td>
<td>Middle East; U.S. and/or Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Korea</td>
<td>Provocations with South Korea</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine Conflict</td>
<td>Frozen conflict</td>
<td>Russia, Europe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: BlackRock Investment Institute, November 2014.

“...The world today is characterized by a large number of unstable and volatile regional situations. These are zero-sum conflicts.”

— Tom Donilon
Senior Director,
BlackRock Investment Institute
Japan

Japan is all in—on a high-stakes bet that monetary stimulus will jump-start the country's economy. The central bank's balance sheet has swollen to almost 60% the size of Japan's GDP, roughly three times the ECB's balance sheet as a share of the eurozone's economy.

The BoJ is even buying equities. This is a big boon to asset markets, especially as Japan's bellwether $1.2 trillion Government Pension Investment Fund (GPIF) is wading in. The fund aims to more than double its benchmark allocation to Japanese and foreign equities to 25% each—and slash its allocation to Japanese government bonds (JGBs).

The BoJ is effectively printing money to buy the Japanese pension fund's government bonds—and finance its equity buying. The path of least resistance is likely to be up (further) for Japanese equities and down for the yen. A collapse in the trade-weighted yen has been mirrored by a rally in Japanese equities since 2012.

Other pension funds and households may start mirroring the GPIF’s move and shift some of their cash piles into stocks.

**BEYOND THE BOJ’S BAZOOKA**

The case for Japanese equities is not just about the BoJ’s bazooka. Japan Inc. is changing (really). Consider:

- Almost 45% of Japanese companies announced dividend hikes in 2014, according to SMBC Nikko, the highest percentage since data became available in 1995. Dividends and buybacks have risen to the highest level in six years—and are poised to climb further, Goldman Sachs forecasts. See the chart on the right.

- Corporate reforms are a key driver. These include the creation and adoption of corporate governance and stewardship codes. The new JPX-Nikkei 400 Index—which only includes companies with high returns on equity (ROE)—provides an incentive for Japanese CEOs to become more shareholder friendly. Nobody wants to be on the other side of this (admittedly long) velvet rope. The GPIF and other large domestic investors are already tracking the index.

- Japanese equities are the cheapest in the developed world (page 6). Yet these numbers understate Japan’s cheapness. Japanese accounting standards are more conservative than elsewhere (thanks to differences such as higher depreciation charges). We like both beneficiaries of a weak yen (exporters) and of domestic reflation (financials).

The biggest near-term risk for Japan is a loss of momentum for “Abenomics,” Prime Minister Shinzo Abe’s three-pronged plan to revitalize the economy and drag Japan out of a two-decade economic funk. Abenomics could give way to “Kurodanomics”—with BoJ Governor Kuroda pressing harder and harder on the monetary accelerator, but structural reforms going nowhere. This would likely end in tears.

Abe has called a snap election and delayed a sales tax rise. The size of his parliamentary majority will affect his ability to deliver his “third arrow” structural reforms detailed in *Rising Sun, Setting Sun* of March 2014.

---

### DIVVYING UP

**Japanese Buybacks and Dividend Payouts, 2005–2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>TRILLIONS OF YEN</th>
<th>RETURN ON EQUITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Goldman Sachs, November 2014. Note: The analysis is based on 1,689 Tokyo Stock Exchange-listed companies. 2014 and 2015 are Goldman Sachs estimates.

---

### PLAYING WITH FIRE

Being bullish on Japanese equities has become somewhat mainstream in the last two years. Foreign investors dominate trading, with a 60% share of volume on the Tokyo Stock Exchange. A loss of confidence in Abenomics could cause market gyrations. Reforms to develop a stronger equity culture would reduce Japan’s vulnerability to the mood swings of global investors, but this will take time.

The BoJ is playing with fire. What if the central bank actually succeeds and inflation starts to take off quickly? The nightmare scenario would be a spike in JGB rates leading to a fiscal crisis. Japan’s public debt load stands at almost 250% of GDP, according to the IMF, the highest in the G7.
United States

The U.S. economy is in a cyclical upswing—and is one of the world’s few major economies expected to accelerate in 2015. Steady growth in employment, a moderate (yet patchy) housing recovery and rising capital expenditures (capex) all point to a sustainable recovery. So much for “secular stagnation” or any other catch phrases to denote a long-term growth decline.

A rise in household wealth and falling oil prices bode well for consumer spending, which accounts for about 70% of the U.S. economy. (Wealthier consumers are happier—and spend more.) Ultra-loose monetary policy has inflated U.S. equity and home values, boosting the net worth of U.S. households by $23.1 trillion, U.S. Federal reserve data show. Other positives include:

- Rock-bottom interest rates have helped households reduce debt levels, and debt servicing payments have fallen to the lowest level in decades. Another boon for consumers: falling energy prices. The average hourly paycheck now buys 7.5 gallons of gasoline versus just over four in 2008, our calculations show.
- Companies are hiring, with payrolls expanding at a pace of around 240,000 a month in 2014, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. They are investing, too: Capex jumped almost 11% in the third quarter, the latest quarterly GDP scorecard shows.
- Fiscal challenges are subsiding. The impact of rises in payroll taxes and government spending cuts—a drag on the economy in 2013—has largely faded. Even state and local governments are starting to spend again.
- Monetary policy should remain highly stimulatory. Even if the Fed were to hike by a full percentage point, real short-term interest rates would still be negative.

So when will the Fed raise rates—and by how much? It depends on the pace of recovery in the labor market, and on how soon wage growth starts to feed into higher inflation.

The headline U.S. unemployment rate stands at 5.8%, and is falling by around 0.1% per month. That means by mid-2015 unemployment could be homing in on 5%. This is the Fed’s rough estimate of the non-accelerating inflation rate of unemployment (NAIRU)—the threshold for inflationary pressures to build up.

What really matters for inflation is short-term unemployment, a study by Brookings Institute shows. Wages tend to rise rapidly when this rate falls below 4.5%. The rate today? Just 4%. History suggests wages should be rising at a 3%-plus annual pace given today’s jobless rate. See the chart below. Structural trends such as innovation and globalization, however, could keep a lid on wage growth (as they have done for some time).

We expect the Fed to start tightening in 2015—but only at a gentle pace, likely ending at a historically lower level than in previous cycles. The combined effects of an aging population, high debt loads and technological progress should dampen inflation and potential growth in the long run, as detailed in Interpreting Innovation of September 2014.

Risks to the growth story include: weak global demand and the strong dollar hurting exports (this would pinch but not kill growth); rising student debt burdens due to the soaring cost of education (this is a drag on consumption and home buying); and financial instability (sparse inventories at broker-dealers could exacerbate any liquidity crunch. See A Disappearing Act of May 2014).

“ I’m starting to worry about operational leverage. We have had five or six different events where companies stretched too far.”

— Sarah Thompson
Head of BlackRock’s
U.S. Liquid Credit Research
How high will U.S. rates rise in 2015? The median projection of Fed members points to a federal funds rate of around 1.4% by the end of the year. Markets are pricing in a rate of just under 0.5%. Short-term yields could rise a lot faster than expected if the Fed’s projections are on the mark.

We expect rates to drift higher, but only moderately. The U.S. dollar should strengthen and the yield curve flatten. Credit and long bonds should do fine as yield-hungry insurers and pension funds dampen any yield spikes. Our model—which takes into account factors such as inflation and policy expectations—points to a fair value of around 2.7% for the U.S. 10-year Treasury yield, some 0.4% above current levels.

Yet U.S. Treasuries today look like good value compared with ultra-low-yielding Japanese and European bonds in depreciating currencies. German Bund yields have become a big driver of Treasury yields over the past year, our research shows. This means the biggest risk for U.S. Treasuries in 2015 may not be the Fed—but European growth. Fiscal stimulus in Germany, unlikely as it may seem currently, would be a game-changer. Every 10-basis-point increase in the 10-year Bund yield would boost U.S. yields by five basis points, we estimate.

The other side of the rate puzzle is supply. Net issuance of U.S. fixed income is expected to rise to the highest level in six years in 2015, driven by a halt in the Fed’s bond buying and increased corporate issuance. Yet total issuance should remain well short of pre-crisis levels. See the chart above.

What would higher rates mean for U.S. equities? If history is any guide, there is likely to be a big difference between the performance of low-beta stocks (defensives) and high-beta stocks (cyclicals). U.S. defensives usually do well when interest rates are falling (and vice versa), as detailed in Risk and Resilience of September 2013. They tend to lose money when the 10-year yield rises more than 15 basis points in a month, our research shows. See the chart below.

U.S. cyclicals do best when rates rise—but only when the rise is mild. Returns start to taper off when yields rise more than around 10 basis points in a month. This bodes well for cyclical stocks in 2015 (if history repeats itself). Even if the Fed hikes rates sharply, solid demand for longer-term bonds should dampen the rise in 10-year yields.

Corporate earnings are a key risk. Analysts predict double-digit growth in 2015, yet such high expectations will be tough to meet. Companies have picked the low-hanging fruit by slashing costs since the financial crisis. How do you generate 10% earnings-per-share growth when nominal GDP growth is just 4%?

It becomes tempting to take on too much leverage, use financial wizardry to reward shareholders or even stretch accounting principles. S&P 500 profits are 86% higher than they would be if accounting standards of the national accounts were used, Pelham Smithers Associates notes. And the gap between the two measures is widening, the research firm finds.
The eurozone is an economic laggard. Its recovery from the recent financial crisis has fallen far short of that from previous crises around the world—and dramatically short of the typical recovery from past recessions. See the chart below. The U.S. is following the script of an economic recovery after a financial crisis. As a result, the gap between the two blocks is growing.

Outright deflation is a palpable risk in the eurozone (although some of us would say it is a marketing tool used by central bankers to justify QE). All economies are undershooting the ECB’s 2% inflation target. A thorny problem: Inflation in eurozone locomotive Germany is too low at just 0.5%. Higher German inflation would help weak peripheral economies such as Greece, Spain and Italy to regain competitiveness. Yet the fear of inflation is deeply ingrained in the Bundesbank’s psyche, and Germans are already complaining the ECB’s low rates are destroying their savings. Draghi risks losing the support of his major stakeholder.

FRENCH FAULT LINE

The ECB has vowed to combat deflation by boosting its balance sheet by 50% to €3 trillion. Markets are counting on full-blown QE (direct purchases of sovereign bonds). Germany is opposed to such a move—but we think it will (reluctantly) be dragged to the sovereign QE altar. The ECB could extend asset purchases to include corporate bonds as a preliminary step.

Would QE help? The jury is out. QE’s “wealth effect” (the knock-on impact on consumer spending from boosting asset prices) would not mirror the U.S. experience due to lower equity and home ownership rates in Europe, we think. Germans have just 22% of their savings in equities versus 47% for Americans, according to McKinsey. European individual investors hold a majority of their non-housing assets in cash—earning a negative nominal and real yield—our research shows. Still, QE could have a big impact on confidence.

France is fast becoming the eurozone’s fault line. The country could become more competitive by implementing reforms: freeing up labor markets and cutting red tape. Yet its politicians appear to have little appetite for tackling sacred cows (which exceed the bovine population of Normandy). France’s 2015 budget will be a key test for the likelihood of decisive reforms.

ANNUS HORBILIS

Skeptics argue the eurozone cannot survive without greater economic and financial integration. Our view: The current system can muddle through for another five years but does not look sustainable in the long run. It is bound to either integrate deeper or break up.

Policymakers have shown great determination in ensuring the currency union survives. The consequences of any nation leaving are just too uncertain (and are not even a discussion point in Germany at this time). Yet further integration beyond a banking union is tough, as eurozone institutions are seen as lacking democratic legitimacy.

Events could come to a head in 2017, a likely annus horribilis with a trio of key political hurdles for the European project: German and French elections and a possible U.K. referendum on EU membership. See the sidebar on the next page. A more immediate test would be a victory of populist parties in the upcoming Greek elections—a much under-clubbed risk.

“...It’s amazing to me how dividends are overlooked by equity investors. There is still value in the space, and enough value may offset the drag that will come if we see a reversal in low-beta stocks.”

Alice Gaskell
Portfolio Manager,
BlackRock’s European Equities Team
LOW-FLYING PLANE

Imbalances are growing in the eurozone. Germany’s current account surplus is equivalent to more than 2% of the region’s GDP (and a whopping 7.3% of its own). See the chart on the right. In the “one-size-fits-all” monetary union, Germany has a hopelessly undervalued currency.

A “grand bargain” with Germany ramping up fiscal stimulus in exchange for structural reforms in France would be a game-changer for markets. We are not holding our breath. Germany on its own has little need for stimulus, many Germans argue.

It is not all doom and gloom:

- The ECB’s Asset Quality Review helped shore up confidence in the region’s shaky banking system—and in its own role as a new pan-European banking regulator with teeth. Banks eased lending standards for the second straight quarter, following seven years of tightening, its most recent survey showed.
- The trade-weighted euro has fallen more than 5% since March, boosting prospects for European exporters.

Bottom line: The eurozone is a low-flying plane that constantly hits air pockets. This causes both occasional lifts and near-death experiences. The upside (in the near term): Expectations are so depressed even a moderate cyclical rebound would be a booster for European risk assets. The bar is low: Greece is set to be one of the fastest growing eurozone economies in 2015.

IMBALANCED UNION

Selected Eurozone Current Account Balances, 1999–2014

Sources: BlackRock Investment Institute and Eurostat, November 2014. Note: Current account balances are 12-month moving averages and are expressed as a share of total eurozone GDP.

should i stay or should i go?


BREXIT BANTER

2015 could shape up as a big year for the U.K. Key events include a general election and the prospect of the BoE’s first rate hike since 2007.

An election win by the ruling Conservative Party would pave the way for a 2017 referendum on EU membership. A “Brexit” is unlikely—opinion polls point to a steady rise in the “Stay in the EU” camp. See the chart on the left. Yet the political noise (and market gyrations) could make the Scottish referendum look like child’s play. A left-leaning coalition win would likely hurt business confidence—and could upset (consensus) expectations for a rate rise and strong sterling.

The BoE is on a similar track as the U.S. Fed. Unemployment has been falling, yet there are few signs of wage growth. The central bank is set to raise rates from the 320-year low of 0.5%, provided election uncertainty does not derail the economy in 2015. We expect sterling to rise but gilts to fare poorly. Selling by foreigners (who own a near-record 29% of gilts) could exacerbate any bond market moves.
Emerging Markets

Divergence in the emerging world is becoming more evident due to tightening U.S. monetary policy and falling commodities prices. (We should start calling them diverging markets.) Satellites of the eurozone and Asia will likely import dovish monetary policies from the ECB and BoJ, respectively. They will have room to cut rates to spur growth. Commodities producers such as Russia and Brazil might have to hike rates to defend their currencies. Yet still others, such as Mexico and China, stand to gain from U.S. economic momentum.

These diverse countries have one thing in common: Traditional export models are challenged. The reasons are weak global demand from the developed world and a deceleration in the emerging world’s engine, China. Export growth has been essentially flat for the past three years. See the chart below.

Reforming Markets

A little reform can go a long way—especially if starting expectations are low.

China’s leaders are (gently) tapping the growth brakes as they attempt their biggest reform since the 1980s: Cutting the economy’s dependence on investment and raising the share of consumption. There are plenty of subtleties along the way:

- China’s recent interest rate cut was the latest in a series of targeted easing measures to loosen financial conditions and provide a stable growth foundation for structural reforms. Rate cuts often have come in a series, accompanied by fiscal stimulus. We could see this scenario play out again.
- We see economic growth and demand for resources slowing, but not falling off a cliff. Remember growth is coming off an increasingly large base. Also, high growth is not necessarily good for markets. China’s economy powered ahead in the new millennium, yet equities underperformed as structural problems such as over-investment increased.
- The trading link between the Shanghai and Hong Kong stock exchanges over time should boost investor confidence in China’s domestic markets and increase their index weighting. Falling property prices, a string of scandals surrounding asset-backed securities schemes and cheap valuations make equities look (relatively) attractive to domestic investors.

India is riding a wave of optimism after pro-business Narendra Modi won the elections. Indian debt looks like good value with inflation falling. Robust earnings growth supports (pretty heady) equity valuations, but visible progress on reforms is needed for markets to advance further. See India Under New Management of September 2014.

Mexico is well along on the reform path, including freeing up the key energy sector. Benefits take years to become evident, and reforms often stoke a backlash in the short term.

The reform magic is at work in Indonesia as well, with the election of hands-on Joko Widodo. The country has cut fuel subsidies (as has India), but further reforms will be a hard slog—Widodo does not have a parliamentary majority.

Long-suffering holders of South Korea’s stocks are pinning their hopes on reform measures that incentivize companies to raise dividends. A more immediate catalyst could be further easing by the Bank of Korea.

“As a fund manager, I’m always worried: Are all these reforms actually going to happen?”

— Helen Zhu
Head of BlackRock China Equities
AGE OF CHERRY-PICKING

Fast-changing growth models in the emerging world mean future winners are likely to be different than the winners of the past. Companies catering to the rising middle classes should gain. Resource industries and capital equipment providers will likely lose ground as countries rebalance growth. The problem: These expectations are often already priced in.

The divergence theme within the emerging world is getting stronger. Examples abound: Cheaper oil, a slowdown in Chinese wage growth and a strong U.S. economy bode well for Taiwanese companies with factories on the mainland. Russia, by contrast, resembles a slow-motion T-90 tank crash. Slumping oil prices, economic sanctions, capital outflows, a cratering currency and rising inflation: Russian assets could get cheaper yet.

The divergence is illustrated by the dispersion of EM rankings and the variation in their component scores in our 50-country BlackRock Sovereign Risk Index (BSRI). See the chart below. Note: The BSRI is a slow-moving indicator, and small changes in scores can result in large shifts in rankings as some countries are bunched up in the index.

Most EM countries get poor marks in our Willingness to Pay category, which gauges a government’s perceived effectiveness. External finance is a key component to watch. History shows EM countries with large current account deficits and foreign currency debts often see their currencies depreciate (or fall off a cliff) when funding dries up. See Emerging Markets on Trial of February 2014. We prefer hard-currency EM debt.

EM equity valuations are generally cheap, relative to both developed market stocks and their own history (page 6). Yet these metrics can be deceptive. Average valuations are dragged down by Chinese banks and Russian stocks. Free cash flow yields have been well below the average earnings yield. See the chart above. This is a key indicator as many EM companies are poor stewards of capital and tend to over-invest. Hopes for more (public) shareholder-friendly policies are often dashed. Bottom line: Company selection is key.

WHERE’S THE CASH?

WHERE’S THE CASH?

EM Equity Valuations, 2002–2014

EM Equity Valuations, 2002–2014

Sources: BlackRock Investment Institute and MSCI, November 2014. Note: The chart is based on weighted average valuations of stocks in the MSCI Emerging Markets Index through September 2014.
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